	ed States Patent a	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 222 www.usplo.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,560	12/05/2003	Alcandro DiGianfilippo	BBM-103US	9986
23122 7590 12/18/2006 RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980			EXAMINER	
			CABRERA, ZOILA E	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/18/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	/	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/728,560	DIGIANFILIPPO ET AL.
Office Action Summa	iry	Examiner	Art Unit
		Zoila E. Cabrera	2125
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply			with the correspondence address
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t 	THE MAILING DAT rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(his communication. kimum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUI a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) M suse the application to become	a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 05 Octo	ober 2006.	
2a) This action is FINAL .		ction is non-final.	
,	,		atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the		•	
	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	·
5)X Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-19</u> is/are allo	owed.		
6)X Claim(s) <u>2-6, 20-21</u> is/are reje	cted.		· · · · · ·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	ted or b) Cobjected t	o by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that ar			
			ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is obje	. –	-	
-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a		iority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) Non			
1. Certified copies of the p	· ·		
2. Certified copies of the p			
-	• • •		en received in this National Stage
application from the Inte			
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of	the certified copies n	ot received.
	-		
A Man Harrow (/ -)			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References 	view (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/		5) 🔲 Notice c	f Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other: _	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20061208

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for consideration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-6 and 20-21 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 6 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Kircher et al. (US 6,975,924) in view of de la Huerga (US 7,006,894).

Kircher discloses:

2. A compounding control method to prepare a compounded mixture for use with

at least one pharmaceutical compounding device having an associated plurality of

source solutions and a mixture receptacle, the method comprising the steps of: a)

determining whether said plurality of source solutions conform to a predetermined

configuration (Fig. 4A, i.e. concentration within allowed range?; Col. 12, lines 37-Col.

13, line 5); b) at least one of providing an alert to an operator and preventing

compounding based on said determining step a) (Fig. 4A Display Alarm; Col. 14, line 57 to Col. 15, line 20; Col. 19, lines 1-4); e) accepting mixture inputs for one or more of said plurality of source solutions (Fig. 4A Input Prescription; Col. 8, lines 18-28); f) determining a nutritional assessment of a patient; g) comparing said mixture inputs with said nutritional assessment; and h) providing an output to a user based on said comparison (Col. 7, line 54 to Col.8, line 39); and i) urging at least a portion of at least one of said plurality of source solutions into said mixture receptacle based on said mixture inputs to form said compounded mixture (Col. 11, lines 1-13); .

As for claim 3, the same citations applied to claim 2 above apply as well for claim 3. Kircher further discloses: determining if a lipid source solution and a dextrose source solution one of immediately follow <u>or</u> immediately precede one another; generating an alert to a user based on said determination; and preventing further processing of the compounded mixture until at least one buffer source solution is selected to be provided between said lipid source solution and said dextrose source solution (Col. 10, lines 53-57; Col. 9, lines 38-40; Col. 9, lines lines 65 to Col. 10 line 5).

As for claim 6, the same citations applied to claim 2 above apply as well for this claim. Kircher further discloses selecting <u>at least one of</u> an infusion ramp-up time and a rampdown time for dispensing the compounded mixture (Col. 12, line 54- Col. 13, line 5)

However Kircher does not disclose some limitations of claims 2, 20, 21 and the limitations of claims 4 and 7. But de la Huerga discloses such limitations as follows:

As for claim 2,

c) determining respective expiration dates of said plurality of source solutions (Col. 15, lines 4-16); d) at least one of providing a warning and preventing use of any of said plurality of source solutions based on said determination step c) (Col. 15, lines 18-21).

As for claims 4 and 7,

4. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of generating a bar coded label based at least in part on a composition of the compounded mixture in the mixture receptacle (Fig. 5, Col. 7, lines 9-11).

7. The method according to claim 1, wherein said determining step c) is based at least in part on a bar code scan of said source solution (Col. 13, lines 57-62; Col. 15, lines 4-21).

As for claims 20-21, the same citations applied to claims 2 and 5 above apply as well for these claims. As for claim 20, Kircher further discloses determining if a plurality of said compounded mixture are to be prepared; determining if any of a plurality of additive

solutions are to be part of said compounded mixture; determining if any of said plurality of additive solutions may be pooled into a pooled additive solution; urging at least one of said plurality of additive solutions into a pooled additive solution container; and designating said pooled additive solution as a further source solution for preparation of said compounded mixture (Col. 6, lines 24-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kircher with the teachings of de la Huerga because it would provide an improved system wherein information about expired solutions or medication that exceeds shelf life can be easily identified and thereby an alarm or display may be activated when such an occurrence arises (Col. 6, lines 13-33).

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kircher et al. (US 6,975,924) and de la Huerga (US 7,006,894) and further in view of Martucci et al. (US 5,927,349).

Kircher and de la Huerga disclose the limitations of claim 2 above, the same citations applied to claim 2 above apply as well for claim 5 with the exception of selecting an infusion pump type for dispensing the compounded mixture prior to beginning compounding the compounded mixture. However, Martucci discloses selecting an infusion pump type for dispensing the compounded mixture prior to beginning compounding the compounded mixture (Col. 5, line 57 to Col. 6, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kircher and de la Huerga with the compounding assembly of Martucci because it would provide an improved system wherein the solutions are prepared in a safe, efficient and accurate manner (Col. 1, lines 20-21).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 and 7-19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The allowability of the claims resides, at least in part, that the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest, alone or in combination the step of:

As for independent claim 1, scanning a bar code of said installed plurality of source solutions; scanning a bar code of respective ones of transfer tubing adapted to be coupled to said plurality of source solutions; comparing the scanned information of the installed plurality of source solutions and transfer tubing with an expected configuration; either permitting the operator to commence compounding if the comparison is valid or preventing the operator from compounding if the comparison is invalid, in combination with the other elements and features of the claimed invention.

As for independent claim 11, determining a state of motion of a plurality of pump elements of said compounding device; generating a first alert signal if any

of said plurality of pump elements are in a state of motion that should otherwise be stationary, said alert advising of a defective compounded mixture; and generating a second alert signal if any of said plurality of pump elements are in a stationary state that should otherwise be in motion, in combination with the other elements and features of the claimed invention.

As for independent claim 16, advising a user of at least one of maintenance procedures and replacement of component parts of the compounder device; receiving input from said user responsive to said advising step and preventing further processing of said compounded misture until said input from said user indicates compliance with said advising step, in combination with the other elements and features of the claimed invention.

As for independent claim 17, providing the user with an inventory of mixture receptacles for selection; receiving an input from the user for selecting a desired mixture receptacle; comparing said selection with a volume of said desired compounded mixture based on said mixture inputs of step e); and generating an alert to said user if said volume of said desired compounded mixture exceeds a volume of said selected mixture receptacle and preventing further processing until an alternate selection of a mixture receptacle is made that will accommodate said compounded mixture, in combination with the other elements and features of the claimed invention.

Page 7

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Primary Examiner 12/8/06

7011 A CARRE

ZOILA CABRERA PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100